

## Income Inequality and Poverty

**Full Length Text** — Part: 5 Chapter: 27  
**Micro Only Text** — Part: 3 Chapter: 15

To Accompany “Economics: Private and Public Choice 11th ed.”  
 James Gwartney, Richard Stroup, Russell Sobel, & David Macpherson  
 Slides authored and animated by:  
 James Gwartney, David Macpherson, & Charles Skipton

[Next page](#) Copyright ©2006 Thomson Business and Economics. All rights reserved.

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



## How Much Income Inequality Exists in the United States?

[Jump to first page](#) Copyright ©2006 Thomson Business and Economics. All rights reserved.

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



## Share of Money Income by Quintile

	Lowest 20% of recipients	Second quintile	Third quintile	Fourth quintile	Top 20% of recipients
<b>Family income before taxes</b>					
<i>1950</i>	4.5	12.0	17.4	23.4	42.7
<i>1960</i>	4.8	12.2	17.8	24.0	41.3
<i>1970</i>	5.4	12.2	17.6	23.8	40.9
<i>1980</i>	5.1	11.6	17.5	24.3	41.6
<i>1990</i>	4.6	10.8	16.6	23.8	44.3
<i>2001</i>	4.2	9.7	15.4	22.9	47.7
<b>Impact of taxes &amp; transfers on 2001 household income</b>					
<i>Before</i>	3.5	8.7	14.6	23.0	50.1
<i>After</i>	4.4	10.4	16.3	24.0	44.9

Sources: Bureau of the Census, *Current Population Survey*, Series P-60; *Statistical Abstract of the United States*; 1995, Table 733; Congressional Budget Office, *1994 Green Book*; and Bureau of the Census, *Money Income in the United States*; 2002.

- Income inequality fell in the 1950s and 1960s, but since the 1970s it has been increasing.
- Due to transfer programs and the progressive taxation of income, after tax and transfer income is more equal than before tax income.

[Jump to first page](#) Copyright ©2006 Thomson Business and Economics. All rights reserved.

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



### Factors Influencing Income Distribution

- A high portion of annual income inequality is due to differences in:
  - age,
  - education,
  - family size,
  - marital status,
  - number of earners in the family, and,
  - time worked.
- Young, inexperienced workers, students, single-parent families, and retirees are over-represented among those with low incomes.

[Jump to first page](#)      Copyright ©2006 Thomson Business and Economics. All rights reserved.

---

---

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



### High and Low Income Families, 2001

	Bottom 20% of income recipients	Top 20% of income recipients
<b>Education of householder</b>		
<i>Percent with less than high school</i>	34.0	3.0
<i>Percent with college degree or more</i>	9.0	58.0
<b>Age of householder (percent distribution)</b>		
<i>under 35</i>	32.0	13.0
<i>35 - 64</i>	44.0	79.0
<i>65 and over</i>	24.0	8.0
<b>Family status</b>		
<i>Married-couple family (% of total)</i>	51.0	93.0
<i>Single-parent family (% of total)</i>	49.0	7.0
Persons per family	2.9	3.4
Earners per family	0.8	2.2
% of married couple families in which wife works full-time	13.0	63.0
% of total hours worked supplied by group	10.0	25.0

Source: U.S. Dept. of Commerce, *Money Income in the United States: 2001* (Washington, D.C.: Government Printing Office 2002)

[Jump to first page](#)      Copyright ©2006 Thomson Business and Economics. All rights reserved.

---

---

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



### Why Has Income Inequality Increased?

- Income inequality in the U.S. has increased due to the **growth** of:
  - both single-parent and dual-earner families as a share of the total,
  - earnings differentials on the basis of skill and education,
  - the number of “winner-take-all” markets, and,
  - the reported income of those in the top tax bracket due to lower marginal tax rates.

[Jump to first page](#)      Copyright ©2006 Thomson Business and Economics. All rights reserved.

---

---

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



## Income Mobility and Inequality in Economic Status

◀ [Jump to first page](#) ▶

Copyright ©2006 Thomson Business and Economics. All rights reserved.

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



## Income Mobility

- Annual income data hide the movement of people up and down the income distribution over time.
- Tracking of household income over time shows there is considerable movement both up and down the income spectrum.

◀ [Jump to first page](#) ▶

Copyright ©2006 Thomson Business and Economics. All rights reserved.

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



## Income Mobility

Income Status of Family in 1988	Percentage Distribution by Income Status of Family in 1998				
	Top paid quintile	Next highest quintile	Middle quintile	Next lowest paid quintile	Lowest paid quintile
Highest quintile	53.2	23.2	14.9	5.7	3.0
Next-highest-quintile	25.8	31.1	23.7	12.9	6.5
Middle-quintile	12.6	27.5	28.3	20.7	10.9
Next-lowest-paid quintile	4.3	11.0	22.6	36.3	25.7
Lowest-paid quintile	4.3	6.4	12.4	23.6	53.3

Source: Katherine Bradbury and Jane Katz, "Women's Labor Market Involvement and Family Income Mobility When Marriages End," *New England Review*, Fourth Quarter 2002, pages 41-54.

- The table above allows for us to see how families in each income bracket in the U.S. fared 10 years later.
- Does it appear to you that there is a significant amount of income mobility in the U.S. Economy?

◀ [Jump to first page](#) ▶

Copyright ©2006 Thomson Business and Economics. All rights reserved.

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



**Questions for Thought:**

1. Do you think the current distribution of income in the United States is too unequal?
2. Indicate three factors that have contributed to an increase in income inequality in the United States during the last two decades.
3. (Which of the following is true?)  
Data on income inequality in the U.S. indicate
  - a. rich families stay rich; poor families stay poor.
  - b. there is substantial movement among income groupings in the United States.

◀ Jump to first page ▶ Copyright ©2006 Thomson Business and Economics. All rights reserved.

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



**Poverty in the United States**

◀ Jump to first page ▶ Copyright ©2006 Thomson Business and Economics. All rights reserved.

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



**Changing Composition of the Poor**

	1959	1976	2003
Number of poor families ( <i>millions</i> )	8.3	5.3	7.6
Percent of poor families headed by a:			
Female	23	48	51
Black	26	30	27
Elderly person (aged 65+)	22	14	10
Person who worked at least some during the year	70	55	48
Poverty rate (%)			
All families	18.5	10.1	10.0
Married-couple families	15.8	7.2	5.4
Female-headed families	42.6	32.5	28.0
All individuals	22.4	11.7	12.5
Whites	18.1	9.1	10.5
Blacks	55.1	31.1	24.4
Children (under age 18)	27.3	16.0	17.6

Sources: U.S. Dept. of Commerce, *Characteristics of the Population Below the Poverty Line*, 1982, Table 5; and *Poverty in the United States*, 2003, (P60-226).  
◀ Jump to first page ▶ Copyright ©2006 Thomson Business and Economics. All rights reserved.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Transfer Payments and the Poverty Rate

- Income transfers expanded rapidly over the past several decades.
- These transfers have been largely ineffective at reducing the poverty rate.
  - Though per capita income has increased substantially over time (109% since 1965), the poverty rate of working-age Americans has stayed about the same.

[Jump to first page](#)    Copyright ©2006 Thomson Business and Economics. All rights reserved.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Poverty Rate, 1947-2003

Year	Poverty Rate
1947	32.0
1959	18.5
1965	13.9
1968	10.0
1975	9.7
1980	10.3
1990	10.7
2000	8.7
2003	10.0

Sources: U.S. Dept. of Commerce, *Characteristics of the Population Below the Poverty Level: 1982*, Table 5; and *Poverty in the United States: 2000*, p. 60-241.

- The official poverty rate of families declined sharply during the 1950's and 60's ... but has been relatively constant at about 10 percent since 1968.

[Jump to first page](#)    Copyright ©2006 Thomson Business and Economics. All rights reserved.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

### Poverty Rate, 1947-2003

Year	Total Poverty Rate	Non-cash Benefits
1947	32.0	-
1959	18.5	-
1965	13.9	-
1968	10.0	-
1975	9.7	~7.5
1980	10.3	~7.5
1990	10.7	~7.5
2000	8.7	~7.5
2003	10.0	~7.5

Sources: U.S. Dept. of Commerce, *Characteristics of the Population Below the Poverty Level: 1982*, Table 5; and *Poverty in the United States: 2000*, p. 60-241.

- The orange shaded part of the bars indicate the drop in the poverty rate when non-cash benefits are counted as income.
- With non-cash benefits added, the poverty rate during most of the period since 1968 has ranged from 7% to 8%.

[Jump to first page](#)    Copyright ©2006 Thomson Business and Economics. All rights reserved.

---

---

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



### Income Transfer Effects

- Income supplements large enough to significantly increase the economic status of poor people will:
  - encourage behavior that increases the risk of poverty
  - create high implicit marginal tax rates that reduce the recipient's incentive to earn.

◀ [Jump to first page](#) ▶ Copyright ©2006 Thomson Business and Economics. All rights reserved.

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



### Income Inequality: *Some Concluding Thoughts*

◀ [Jump to first page](#) ▶ Copyright ©2006 Thomson Business and Economics. All rights reserved.

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



### Income Inequality

- Positive economics cannot determine how much inequality should be present.
- Income inequality reflects differences between individuals and influences their incentive to develop resources and engage in productive activities.
- The nature of the process, as well as the pattern of income distribution, is relevant to the issue of fairness.

◀ [Jump to first page](#) ▶ Copyright ©2006 Thomson Business and Economics. All rights reserved.

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



**Questions for Thought:**

1. What is the poverty threshold income level? How is it measured? Is the threshold adjusted for family size? Is it adjusted for inflation?
2. What impact did the expansion in government income transfers during the 1960s have on the poverty rate? Was the War on Poverty successful? Why or why not?
3. Do individuals have a property right to income they acquire from market transactions? Is it a proper function of government to tax some people in order to provide benefits to others? Why or why not?

[◀ Jump to first page ▶](#) Copyright ©2006 Thomson Business and Economics. All rights reserved.

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



**Questions for Thought:**

4. What determines whether a distribution of income is fair? Do you think that the major income transfer programs of the U.S. more fair?

[◀ Jump to first page ▶](#) Copyright ©2006 Thomson Business and Economics. All rights reserved.

---

---

---


---

---

---

---

---



**End  
Chapter 27**

[◀ Jump to first page ▶](#) Copyright ©2006 Thomson Business and Economics. All rights reserved.

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---